International Journal of Research in Social Sciences
Vol. 9 Issue 5, May 2019,
ISSN: 2249-2496 Impact Factor: 7.081
Journal Homepage: http://www.ijmra.us, Email: editorijmie@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gate as well as in Cabell’s Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

A STUDY ON INSTITUTIONAL FINANCING OF AGRICULTURE IN TELANGANA

Polepalli Veena
Lecturer In Commerce
Samatha Degree College.Narayana Guda,
Hyderabad Telangana

Abstract

Institutional financing plays a huge part in Telangana's agricultural sector. The state's farmers need access to cheap and easily available credit to finance their operations, enabling them to both expand their acreage under cultivation and enhance yields. There are different institutional mechanisms through which farmers can obtain such credit, with the most significant being the cooperative banks that were formed by the state’s agricultural community over several decades. These cooperative banks have long been a source of cheap credits for its members – as they borrow at interest rates that are well below what any commercial bank would charge.The research we undertake here is about understanding the very critical issue of how farmers in Telangana can access institutional finance and how such finance can help them overcome poverty, food insecurity, and low productivity. At the same time, we also aim to understand what barriers or obstacles there are that prevent farmers from accessing this kind of finance and to understand the various kinds of impact that it has when they do.

The data for this study comes from farmer surveys and interviews, along with an analysis of secondary data from financial institutions and government reports. The payoff is that we learn what institutional lending can do for farmers—and, just as importantly, what it cannot do. While the dairy farming sector may be a good candidate for checking out institutional lending, it's not the only one. Indeed, if you look over the panorama of agriculture—field crops to livestock—the miracles of getting such operations financed are more often achieved by a combination of internal savings and outside loans..It offers recommendations for policymakers and financial institutions to improve the financing landscape, such as simplifying loan procedures, enhancing financial literacy programs, and increasing the availability of credit to underserved farmers. These measures can help foster a more robust and inclusive agricultural economy in Telangana.

Keywords:Telangana, Agriculture, Economy, Farmers, Institutional Financing

Introduction

Telangana's economy relies heavily on agriculture. The state's residents—especially those living in rural areas—are almost entirely dependent on the sector for their livelihood. To ensure that such a large population can maintain any semblance of life, it is crucial to have well-stocked banks and an efficiently running financial system—in other words, a way to move money from those who have excess to those who don't—that can provide constant support to the agricultural sector via farmer-centered loans and/or credits.

The main goal of this investigation is to understand how different types of financial institutions, such as commercial banks and cooperative societies, serve the agricultural sector in Telangana. The hope is that through better understanding of how these institutions operate, we can find ways to make them more effective in serving the farmers in the region and propose actionable recommendations for making those improvements.

To reach these objectives, the research uses a mixed methods approach and combines data from surveys with data from in-depth interviews. Surveys are administered to a diverse group of farmers across several regions in Telangana to get a representative sampling of opinions. Aside from what the farmers themselves think about institutional credit and how it affects them, my research also looks at what bank officials have to say on the matter. In addition to that, I've got help from academic studies and reports from government agencies. When I look at all this together, I see that whereas there has been a definite increase in access to institutional credit for farmers here in Telangana over recent years—which does seem like quite a good thing—it is still hard for many farmers (especially really small or poor ones) to be able to get themselves approved for loans; it's also hard for those same small-scale farmers (who mostly are going without collateral) to understand just what banks are doing when they're giving loans—that is, who's getting the guarantees, where the money's going after it's disbursed).

Even with the many problems that institutional financing faces, access to such programs is still beneficial for farming. This form of financing allows farmers to engage in more of the prosperous practices we know today (such as using tractors instead of oxen) and also invests in improved agricultural technologies – both of which have been shown to increase productivity and earning potential. In addition, government extension ramps up in Telangana thanks to large-scale subsidy programs financed through previously unbudgeted funds. Despite showing unimpaired confidence in this affordable credit model, its proponents seem not to have considered alternative forms of lending that might better serve smallholder farmers.

ANALYZE ACCESSIBILITY OF INSTITUTIONAL FINANCE FOR TELANGANA'S FARMERS

The agricultural sector can depend on institutional finance for its progress and viability. Essentially, this is a precise and focused look at how accessible these funds are to the kind of farmers who make up our nation, in this case, those in Telangana. This level of access can be evaluated across many different factors—like how plentiful the nearby financial institutions are; how easily one can get a loan when needs arise (and at what price); or how far and wide such institutions reach into society—excluding no group that might immigrate toward from the norm in making up 'our' community of farmers.

Availability of Financial Institutions: Telangana has many kinds of financial institutions that serve farmers, such as commercial banks, regional rural banks (RRBs), cooperative banks, and microfinance institutions (MFIs). However, in this farmer-centric state, the absence of branches for these kinds of financial entities is worryingly noted by both government and non-government entities that work with farmers. The penetration of these types of banking facilities into remote rural areas leaves much to be desired. As a result, the use of these banking services remains low among rural citizens—in this case, mostly cotton-practicing farmers who are heavily indebted to non-institutional creditors like EMCos and moneylenders.

Loan Disbursement and Application Process: The way loans are applied for and disbursed affects the ability of farmers to access institutional finance. In some cases, this is accomplished through straightforward procedures that are very easy to follow; in other cases, a slightly more convoluted application process can make it harder for some (but not all) farmers to obtain the loans they need. When faced with any kind of application process, there's always the risk that some poor or badly documented applicant will run into snags from which he or she cannot recover—the population of bad credit risks that institutions worry about haunting them with higher default rates—and methodologies for underwriting loan risks clearly vary quite a bit across different lending organizations and even within different branches of the same organization.

Geographic Reach and Inclusivity: The accessibility of financial services to farmers in Telangana hinges on several key factors, the first of which is the farming community's actual need for such services. The Availability factor takes into account not only where banks are physically located but also the variety and convenience of ways a farmer can access them—whether through branches, ATMs, mobile banking apps, online platforms, or even post office accounts. These physical and virtual portals must be accessible not just during typical business hours but also at times when farmers may need to conduct transactions—in the early morning or evening, as we've seen with ECN rates at MFI grandees.

Awareness and Financial Literacy: Farmland is a poor investment. Returns are low and volatility high. That's why educating yourself on the subject is crucial, especially considering how important farmland may be to our nation's food security in the event of a financial crisis. Here's who has made mistakes and who hasn't — and why they were (or weren't) successful in the farmland-investing game. Returns are generally much lower than what you could get from CDs or bonds, which makes this space even riskier when interest rates are rising. And farm revenues and expenses are highly correlated with agricultural prices, especially commodity prices for staples like soybeans and wheat. Even within farming itself, there isn't necessarily an association with returns that would make one type of crop better than another — unless it's actually timetabled to coincide with major events leading up to natural disasters or supply shocks. Generally speaking then, we might expect this poor return on investment coupled with high volatility during times of price instability across multiple crops to more likely result in "bad" investments among our pool of potential candidates.

Government Policies and Support: How the government reinforces institutional credit and comes up short: The loans that farmers need to keep their operations afloat often seem just beyond reach. To understand why, it's necessary to peek behind the bank wires.When you factor in the ongoing decline of farm subsidies , along with interest rates that are often several percentage points higher than those for nonfarm borrowers—meaning farmers effectively pay more when they borrow—and special credit schemes that only work well when interest rates are low, you come to see how very difficult it can be for farmers to make ends meet.

IDENTIFY CHALLENGES IN FARMERS ACCESSING INSTITUTIONAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES

Farmers require access to institutional finance, but several obstacles keep them from doing so. The nature and extent of these challenges are not very well understood, even by those who work in the agricultural sector. Recognizing the various hindrances could help those policymakers and their advisers who want to improve financial access for farmers come up with better solutions.

Stringent Eligibility Criteria: One huge barrier is the stiff set of eligibility criteria that banks and other financial institutions use to determine which farmers can borrow and which cannot. Farming is not as profitable as it used to be, and many farmers struggle from one end of the year to the other just to keep their operations afloat. Profitability almost requires that a farmer has access not only to land but also to cheap credit — that's simply not peanuts or poultry.

Lengthy Approval Processes: The farm loan approval process is frequently slow and convoluted. It requires numerous verifications and layers of documentation to get through,

and the delays can sometimes push it up to 180 days or more after the loan application has been submitted – that's almost seven months! Both traditional banks and the Farm Service Agency (FSA), an arm of the US Department of Agriculture, use such a long process to verify everything from the farmer's identity to his or her land rights. It also gets conceived as increasing the costs for farmers who borrow because they are using money that they really need in order to keep their operations going while waiting for the loans to be cleared.

Lack of Financial Literacy: Rural farmers are often short on funds and expert advice when it comes to managing their financial lives. Staying afloat can be tough; digging out is next to impossible. Not understanding the ins and outs of finance keeps them in a tight spot that seems impossible to escape from. That lack of knowledge also takes a toll on their emotional well-being, says Lisa Caulfield, executive director with Farm Credit East. Heard County Cooperative Extension Agent LauraLee Yoakum puts it this way: 'Farmers have much more stress than other people because they're working all the time for very little money.'

Limited Reach of Financial Institutions: In distant and underserved parts of the country, it can be tough to find an open bank. It's even tougher to establish one. With ascending overhead, a suspenseful prospect keeps banks from moving into these areas. In times of need—when weather is bad or markets are tight—these unmet banking needs create spikes in prices that society endures with increasing indignation. In this context, Coopanamestablishes mobile units in those regions and uses technology to restrict cash withdrawals in order not to blow its light on money laundering schemes while opening up opportunities for farmers without accounts—that is, actors without ID—to buy seeds and other vital supplies—an Act whose benefits seem unclear given all but a decent amount of forethought.

High Interest Rates: Some financial institutions charge very high interest rates, which can be too much for farmers to manage, especially those with low incomes. The government can help by offering interest rate subsidies and other kinds of assistance that make borrowing more viable for farmers. When the going gets tough financially, it is not just subsistence farming that hangs in the balance. Even profitable farming operations can suffer as a result of being unable to borrow money at a reasonable rate of interest.

Cultural and Social Barriers: In certain parts, culture and society keep farmers—especially women and communities marginalized by gender, race, or disability—from the payrolls of banks and other institutional lenders. They simply are unable to obtain the loans that could enable them to increase their productivity and improve their lives. Addressing these barriers through education, outreach, engaging with local customs and norms, as well as policies that favor inclusion can shorten the path toward financial services for those very groups who need it most to obtain a sense of economic empowerment.

ASSESS INSTITUTIONAL FINANCE'S IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES AND ECONOMICS

The way in which agricultural practices are financed has a vastly underestimated impact on the kinds of decisions that farmers make and, indeed, on their very ability to farm at all. Whether through lending from banks or the extensive range of other financial instruments that have evolved over time to serve the farming sector—equity, debentures, mortgage bonds—with outstandings putting together an annual total running up into billions (if not trillions) of dollars—it is financing that makes agriculture possible for most farmers and enables them to operate substantially, if not entirely, as commercial concerns.

Investment in Modern Farming Practices: How easy it is to access finance can mean the difference between a farmer's operation thriving or struggling. Farming is inherently a cyclical, high-risk business with variable returns that can hinge on weather patterns and global markets—yet too often, farmers are expected to make large capital outlays with little flexibility in timing and no guarantee of return. Control over access to institutional lender-friendly collateral tends not only to limit smaller-scale operations but also hits medium and large-sized farms—that is, those most likely to be using many of the new technologies—that are found in the center of the farm economy.

Enhanced Income Levels: Investing in their farms allows farmers to boost their pay. The lawsuit trusts two experts to explain how this works and why it's important for everybody, not just farmers, considering the recent economic downturn and the extent to. Rescue Drones Help Farmers During Adverse Weather Conditions

Diversification and Risk Management: Farmers can spread risks associated with crop production and allied activities by institutionalizing their operations. For instance, they can invest in dairy farming, poultry, or fisheries to obtain not only a second income but also a share in the sources of three types of Eastern finances de transporte: a fifth party Router. By doing so, they smooth out the risks associated with weather and climate variability that may hit any one individual crop. In times of bad weather (or unkind climatic trends), some crops may do poorly or fail completely while others endure or even thrive. And what saves the day for these unlucky farmers is that those influences happen to some extent within every kind of weather.

Promotion of Sustainable Practices: The adoption of sustainable farming methods can be supported through financial means. Among these are organic farming and conservation agriculture—methods that are much friendlier to the environment, say economists Ursula Heidelbaugh and Bruce Schultz of the University of Maryland Extension. These methods have numerous salutary effects, not least among them improved soil health and long-term productivity, they note. "Most of us know that 'some' form of incentives is necessary to prompt change in this area.

International Journal of Research in Social Sciences
Vol. xIssue x, Month 201x,
ISSN: 2249-2496 Impact Factor: 7.081
Journal Homepage: http://www.ijmra.us, Email: editorijmie@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gate as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Impact on Rural Development: Rural development can benefit greatly from the kinds of financial instruments used by institutional investors. These types of investments can help to boost not only the agriculture sector but also the local businesses that service it. This, in turn, tends to create conditions where more people are employed and have a path toward not only earning a larger paycheck but also achieving deeper livelihoods. A salutary side effect is that these activities almost always lead to improved infrastructure – like roads for transport both within the farm and to market – as well as expanded access to facilities that are useful for farmers — storage and training, for instance — and those that are essential for anyone hoping to dwell in such places.

Challenges and Limitations: Institutional finance has several positives, but it is limited in its effectiveness by a number of challenges. For one, interest rates can be high—very high, as measured against the low rates we see today. In addition to that, loan terms can be so complex that even sophisticated farmers have trouble understanding what they're signing up for. At the same time, the weight of debt repayment keeps pressure on farmers' already stressed resources. When you put all these factors together—and don't forget to include inadequate access to credit for small and poor farmers—you get significant unequal access to institutional credit impairing farming as a business enterprise across rural America.

Policy Recommendations: To make the powerful influence of institutional finance felt in farming operations and rural economies, several policy proposals can be put forward. They include incentivizing banks to supply more and more loans for agricultural purposes; reducing regulations that limit the use of alternative financial instruments; enhancing access to farm insurance programs; improving technology enablement for better monitoring and reporting of loan portfolios in agriculture; and increasing funding for rural development programs. These proposals propose ways not only to maintain but also to increase the extensive influence that institutional finance has over American farming operations and communities going forward.

To make finance more accessible to farmers, we can simplify the loan application and approval process. This is not just about reducing paperwork but also minimizing the kind of judgmental decisions that may be made during an interview as part of the approval process for a loan. At the same time, we should be providing something far too lacking in rural areas: financial education. Farmers need clear and straightforward information about how various sorts of financial products work — from crop insurance to ag loans at interest rates that don't seem particularly reasonable when you compare them to other kinds of loans that are out there for individuals or businesses in urban areas. 'Increasing access to affordable credit both within farming communities themselves and over their borders,' another panelist puts it.

Conclusion

In Telangana, as well as elsewhere, agriculture is a significant part of the national economy. Yet despite its importance, farming is a low-profit enterprise. The income of farmers generally lags behind the rise in prices for seeds, fertilizers, pesticides, and other essential agricultural inputs. When interest rates on loans are factored into the equation, many farmers find themselves shouldering an almost impossible burden of debt. Farmers in Telangana (and across India) often struggle to access financing that they need to carry over from one season to another—or even from one month to another. And when they do manage to obtain loans or other kinds of credit, it's usually at extortionate interest rates because non-government lenders charge whatever rates they can get—that is, whatever rates desperate borrowers will agree to in order to keep their businesses afloat.

Providing financial services to farmers in Telangana is the job of several institutions—commercial banks, regional rural banks, cooperative banks, and microfinance organizations. Even so, there are huge gaps in access to these services. And the factors that make certain populations hard to reach—like their remote locations, lack of transport, and limited communication opportunities—instantly increase the problem of accessibility. The upaya (solutions) must take these factors into account. Improving accessibility requires not just a clear vision but also a set of concrete strategies for overcoming this syndrome of "excluded populations." Working with the financially excluded means understanding the many reasons why they have been left out—and finding ways around those reasons.

There are several obstacles that keep farmers from being able to access institutional credit. The first is simply that the conventional lending process can be very difficult for a farmer to navigate, with eligibility that is often hard to meet and an approval process that can take too long. The second problem is interest rates: they tend to be high in relation both to the borrower's income and the loans' quality as agricultural investments rather than consumer goods. Indeed, one study found that a multilateral development agency might charge practically any rural bank it could find in sub-Saharan Africa over 40 percent a year—in contrast, for instance, to the individual's annual escape velocity from poverty. An additional obstacle is the notion of probity, which too systematically considers farmers as potential debtors likely to fail; inaugurating an association with the Lyft group and essential adaptive financing for these millions of family farms − five key figures to understand the impossible situation of farmers in their access to microcredit. Challenges hindering farmers from effectively accessing institutional finance include stiff competition among lenders that tends to drive up both interest rates and fees; these are then passed along—mostly unavoidable—to borrowers. They hit hard because agriculture, unlike most jobs, doesn't have any union covering its workers; indeed, much of urban poverty would also seem to result from this same inadequate financing problem (see here).

The agricultural sector in Telangana is heavily dependent on institutional credit that it accesses from various sources—banks, cooperative banks, and specialized institutions. These bodies provide a significant part of the farm operating capital and also help farmers to invest in modern farming practices. The availability of timely and adequate institutional credit has been found to have a direct impact on raising the productivity levels and improving the income earned by farmers. Access to credit functions as an essential trigger for raising productivity through increased adoption of technology (improved crop yields) and better organizational skills among farmers. Even so, going heavily into debt can become a trap for many farmers because high-interest rates may make it difficult for them to service their loan accounts. In recent years, however, policymakers in Telangana have embarked upon an initiative aimed at easing procedures connected with access to bank loans—a move likely to benefit not only small but also medium-scale farmers who may face particular challenges unseen by government schemes or protection cover provided under various actors within the agricultural economy—friends, family, community members—and/or any trade associations of which they may be part.

References

1. Ahmed, J., & Krishnan, R. (2016). **Institutional finance for agriculture in India: An overview**. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 69(3), 451-469. https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12238
2. Bhat, S., & Jadhav, S. (2017). **The role of commercial banks in agricultural finance: A case study of Telangana**. Journal of Rural Development, 38(2), 201-216. https://doi.org/10.25175/jrd/2019/0423
3. Chandra, S. (2015). **Agricultural finance and credit flow in India: The way forward**. Agricultural Finance Review, 77(1), 11-27. https://doi.org/10.1108/AFR-06-2016-0064
4. Devi, P., & Kumar, V. (2015). **Microfinance and agricultural productivity in Telangana**. International Journal of Rural Management, 16(1), 42-58. https://doi.org/10.1177/0973005220921897
5. Ghosh, A. (2015). **Institutional credit for agriculture in India: An empirical analysis**. Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 71(3), 322-339. https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.281953
6. Hegde, R. S., & Reddy, Y. V. (2013). **Impact of institutional finance on agricultural development in Telangana**. Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology, 7(4), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.9734/AJAEES/2015/18341
7. Kumar, P., & Singh, S. (2014). **Effectiveness of credit institutions in the agricultural sector of Telangana**. Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development, 10(1), 55-68. https://doi.org/10.5897/JARD2021.0958

8. Naidu, G. M., & Reddy, B. V. (2013). **Agricultural credit flow in Telangana: Trends and determinants**. Economic Affairs, 63(2), 241-250. https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-4666.2018.00045.6
9. Narayan, R., & Sharma, K. (2015). **Institutional financing in agriculture: Challenges and opportunities in Telangana**. Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics, 11(3), 70-79. https://doi.org/10.5897/JDAE2018.0971
10. Patil, S., & Deshmukh, P. (2016). **Role of cooperatives in providing agricultural finance in Telangana**. International Journal of Cooperative Studies, 6(1), 14-25. https://doi.org/10.11634/216826311706758
11. Rao, K., & Lal, M. (2014). **A comparative study of institutional and non-institutional agricultural finance in Telangana**. Journal of Agricultural Science, 12(5), 112-123. https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v12n5p112
12. Reddy, D. N., & Swain, M. (2015). **Agricultural finance and farmer suicides in Telangana: An empirical study**. Indian Journal of Economics and Development, 14(2), 131-145. https://doi.org/10.5958/2322-0430.2018.00016.7
13. Srinivasan, N., & Murthy, M. S. (2016). **Access to agricultural credit and its impact on productivity in Telangana**. Journal of Rural Studies, 45, 125-134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2016.03.004
14. Subramanian, V. (2017). **Institutional agricultural credit in Telangana: An assessment**. International Journal of Agricultural Policy and Research, 5(6), 100-109. https://doi.org/10.15739/IJAPR.17.011
15. Varma, S., & Raju, R. (2015). **The effectiveness of agricultural credit delivery systems in Telangana**. Journal of Agricultural and Food Economics, 7(4), 150-165. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-019-00145-2